IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO : 14244 of 2002

Between:

1 Madras-I-Aizza Educational Society, Rep. by its Secretary Mahaboob Alam Khan, New Malakpet, Hyderabad.
2 Aizza College of Engineering & Technology, Rep. by its Secretary Mahboob Alam Khan, Mulkulla, Mancheryal,
Adilabad District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 Government of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 Convenor, EAMCET,
Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
3 All India Council for Technical Educaiton, Rep. by its Secretary,
Indira Gandhi Sports Complex, I.P.Estate,
New Delhi.
4 Andhra Pradesh State Council of Higher Education, Rep. by its Secretary,
Hyderabad.
5 Commissioner of Technical Education,
BRK Bhavan, Tank Bunds,
Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an order direction or writ particularly one in the nature of writ of "Mandamus" declaring the action of the respondents in not allotting students to the petitioner institutions in equal ratios for "free seats" and "payment seats" as per the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in "Unnikrishnan Vs State of A.P." reported in AIR 1993 SC 2178 and G.O.Ms.No.184 Edn (EC-2) dt. 20.8.1993 as being illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and consequently direct the respondents to allot the students to the petitioner institutions in equal proportions for "free seats" and "payment seats" categories.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.S.NIRANJAN REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR TECHNICAL EDUCATION

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed to issue an order, direction or writ particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not allotting students to the petitioner institutions in equal ratios for 'free seats' and 'payment seats' as per the directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in "Unnikrishnan Vs. State of A.P." reported in AIR 1993 SC 2178 and G.O.Ms.No.184 Edn (EC-2), dated 20.08.1993 as illegal and arbitrary and consequently direct the respondents to allot the students to the petitioner institutions in equal proportions for 'free seats' and 'payment seats' categories.

When the matter is taken up today, none appears for the petitioner as well as the respondents. By lapse of time the prayer in the writ petition has become infructuous.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

_____

(N.V.RAMANA, J)

Dated: 20.06.2005

MRKR/NSR

To

1 The Principal Secretary, Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2 The Convenor, EAMCET,
Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
3 The Secretary, All India Council for Technical Educaiton,
Indira Gandhi Sports Complex, I.P.Estate,
New Delhi.
4 The Secretary, Andhra Pradesh State Council of Higher Education,
Hyderabad.
5 The Commissioner of Technical Education,
BRK Bhavan, Tank Bunds,
Hyderabad.

6 Two C.Cs to the Government Pleader for Higher Education, High Court
Buildings, Hyderabad.

7 Two C.D.copies.